A Better Way Forward

As life is expected to return to normal (what and whose normal is yet to be seen) and the next boogeyman threat lies knocking at the door, the would be controllers aim to capture our attention and misplace it into areas outside of our control. Now, more than ever, is the time to assess whether or not we have learned any lessons from two weeks (years) to flatten the curve and its implications. Are we able to use this experience to make measurable changes to prevent this from ever occurring again? Many people have their strategies on how deal with the aftermath of the "pandemic", some of which may be more helpful in the long term than others.

The first approach (and the one that seems to be more common) taken by freedom oriented individuals is to fight back using the judicial and political tools offered by the current system. This plan of attack is what the alternative media usually touts as a means of winning the COVID war. Let's further explore this game plan by painting an optimistic picture of the future. Hypothetically, let's suppose that all of these efforts to expose the damages that the COVID-19 narrative caused are not in vain on a global scale. Furthermore, in this theoretical reality, let's say that we are actually able to break through the echo chamber and cause the prosecution of all individuals that have enabled and enforced the "pandemic" narrative. In this reality, we are able to hold every Canadian (and global politician) as well as the global elite, big pharmaceutical companies and big tech moguls to account. Once they are all tried and dealt with one fundamental question remains: what do we do next? Do we slip back into (s)electing another politician and continue the never ending politicking? In this scenario, maybe we could hope that the ensuing (s)elections will have genuine goodhearted politicians that follow through with their campaign promises; however history tells us that these positive regimes fail to last long and at some point another comically villainous thug or organization will rise from the ashes to try and oppress the people once more.

The other approach being taken now is simple to state but complex to enact; it is to build something new that is completely our own and that cannot be influenced by government will. This is the parallel society that has been mentioned by many. A parallel system has many advantages, the major one being that even if the elites are not deposed, they no longer matter. We, the people interacting with one-another, have all the power in this regard. So even if all the lawsuits and tribunals fail to present any noticeable victories, we still win because the elites no longer matter. Obviously, there are many areas of importance that need to be accounted for in such a system like food, shelter, and communications. I believe that we can achieve all of these goals and more with a concerted effort and realistic goals. We do not need to completely abandon the current system overnight, but we can all take steps to better prepare ourselves for a future that is no longer dependent on big government, big agriculture, and the elites. We can have a space where we transact and exchange goods on our own terms with whoever we choose.

Of course, both strategies have their advantages and disadvantages. The first approach potentially has the ability to wake up the masses by exposing all the damages the establishment has unleashed through breaking news and bombshell ideas. However, the risk is that we put too much energy into fighting the villains and not enough energy into building the future. As for the second approach, the advantage is that we can build a system that is resilient for generations to come; however, it will be an arduous task that will include many complex issues to overcome.

To me, one answer sounds way more appealing than the other. However, the road to building something that is parallel and resilient is not easy. It involves one fundamental core value which is responsibility. In following this path, one realizes that many of the modern day conveniences may be more difficult to access. We would build what is yet to exist and that will be a battle in its own right.

We now have an important choice to make. We can go back to their normal and hope that another two weeks to flatten the curve or comparable policy is not imposed by whatever rulers are (s)elected at the time or we can do something different. The choice is clear to me, but ultimately every person has to make that decision for themselves.

This article was written by Oliver of Liberty Lives (libertylives00@protonmail.com).www.libertylives00.com